

Application No. 10/024,746
Election of Invention Dated April 21, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 6, 2005


REMARKS

In the Office Action dated April 6, 2005, claims 82-121 were subjected to a Restriction Requirement wherein the Examiner required Applicant to elect between two different groups of inventions. In response, Applicant has elected Group I, and has withdrawn the claims of Group II as being directed towards a non-elected invention. Applicant hereby reserves the right to file a divisional application for claims 120 and 121.

Examination on the merits of the claims of Group I is accordingly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP



Peter T. Holsen
Reg. No. 54,180

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-7590